

APPLICATIONS OF NEW GERONIMUS TYPE IDENTITIES FOR REAL ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

D. S. LUBINSKY

ABSTRACT. Let μ be a positive measure on the real line, with associated orthogonal polynomials $\{p_n\}$. Let $\text{Im } a \neq 0$. Then there is an explicit constant c_n such that for all polynomials P of degree at most $2n - 2$,

$$c_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(t)}{|p_n(a)p_{n-1}(t) - p_{n-1}(a)p_n(t)|^2} dt = \int P d\mu.$$

In this paper, we provide a self-contained proof of the identity. Moreover, we apply the formula to deduce a weak convergence result, a discrepancy estimate, and also to establish a Gauss quadrature associated with μ with nodes at the zeros of $p_n(a)p_{n-1}(t) - p_{n-1}(a)p_n(t)$.

Orthogonal Polynomials on the real line, Geronimus formula, discrepancy, weak convergence, Gauss quadrature. 42C05

1. INTRODUCTION¹

Let μ be a positive measure on the real line with infinitely many points in its support, and $\int x^j d\mu(x)$ finite for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then we may define orthonormal polynomials

$$p_n(x) = \gamma_n x^n + \dots, \quad \gamma_n > 0,$$

satisfying

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n p_m d\mu = \delta_{mn}.$$

In analysis and applications of orthogonal polynomials, the reproducing kernel

$$K_n(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j(x) p_j(y).$$

plays a key role. The Christoffel-Darboux formula asserts that

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{\gamma_{n-1} p_n(x) p_{n-1}(y) - p_{n-1}(x) p_n(y)}{\gamma_n (x - y)}.$$

We shall also use the notation

$$(1.1) \quad L_n(x, y) = (x - y) K_n(x, y) = \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} (p_n(x) p_{n-1}(y) - p_{n-1}(x) p_n(y))$$

and for non-real a ,

$$(1.2) \quad E_{n,a}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{|L_n(a, \bar{a})|}} L_n(\bar{a}, z).$$

Date: October 22, 2009.

¹Research supported by NSF grant DMS0700427 and US-Israel BSF grant 2004353

In a recent paper [4], we used the theory of de Branges spaces [1] to show that for $\text{Im } a > 0$, and all polynomials P of degree $\leq 2n - 2$, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(t)}{|E_{n,a}(t)|^2} dt = \int P d\mu.$$

This may be regarded as an analogue of Geronimus' formula for the unit circle, where instead of $E_{n,a}$, we have a multiple of the orthonormal polynomial on the unit circle in the denominator [2, Thm. V.2.2, p. 198], [5, p. 95, 955]. The name Geronimus' formula is not universal, some talk of continuous analogues of quadrature, or Bernstein-Szegő approximations. There is an earlier real line analogue, rediscovered by Barry Simon [6, Theorem 2.1, p. 5], namely

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(t)}{\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n}\right)^2 p_n^2(t) + p_{n-1}^2(t)} dt = \int P d\mu.$$

Simon calls this a real line orthogonal polynomial analogue of *Carmona's formula* and refers to earlier work of Krutikov and Remling [3]. The latter seems to be a special case of (1.3) with $(p_{n-1}/p_n)(\bar{a}) = \pm i\gamma_{n-1}/\gamma_n$. As far as the author is aware, (1.3) is new. At least, the author could not find it in a search of the orthogonal polynomial and orthogonal rational function literature.

In this paper, we present a self-contained proof of (1.3), and deduce results on weak convergence, discrepancy estimates, and a Gauss quadrature type formula with complex nodes. Recall that μ is said to be *determinate* if the moment problem

$$\int x^j d\nu(x) = \int x^j d\mu(x), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

has the unique solution $\nu = \mu$ from the class of positive measures. We also say a function f has *polynomial growth at ∞* if for some $L > 0$ and for large enough $|x|$,

$$|f(x)| \leq |x|^L.$$

We shall prove:

Theorem 1.1

Let μ be a positive measure on the real line with all finite power moments and let μ be determinate. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers with non-zero imaginary part. Then for all functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having polynomial growth at ∞ , and that are Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to μ , we have

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \frac{dx}{|E_{n,a_n}(x)|^2} = \int f d\mu.$$

Of course, if f is continuous on the real line, it will be locally Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to μ . Simon [6] noted the weak convergence involving his Carmona type formula. When μ is indeterminate, the weak convergence will fail, since then $E_{n,a}$ has a finite limiting value in the plane. In this case, the limit (1.4) should probably hold only for a limited class of entire functions.

One consequence of the weak convergence is that $1/|E_{n,a}|^2 \rightarrow 0$ outside the support, in some sense, yielding information on the behavior of K_n :

Corollary 1.2

Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1.

(a) Let J be a closed subset of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \text{supp}[\mu]$. Then

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\text{Im } a_n| K_n(a_n, \bar{a}_n) \int_J \frac{dt}{(t^2 + |a_n|^2) |K_n(t, a_n)|^2} = 0.$$

(b) Assume, in addition, that $\text{supp}[\mu]$ is compact and that J is a compact set disjoint from $\text{supp}[\mu]$. Then

$$(1.6) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{|\text{Im } a_n|}{1 + |a_n|^2} K_n(a_n, \bar{a}_n) \int_J \frac{dt}{|K_n(t, a_n)|^2} \right\}^{1/n} < 1.$$

We can also prove a discrepancy type estimate for the measure $\frac{dt}{|E_{n,a}(t)|^2} - d\mu(t)$. The main tool here is the Markov-Stieltjes inequalities, and the formulation involves the Christoffel function

$$\lambda_n(x) = \frac{1}{K_n(x, x)} = \inf_{\deg(P) \leq n-1} \frac{\int P^2 d\mu}{P^2(x)}.$$

Theorem 1.3

Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. Let $c, d \in \text{supp}[\mu]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for large enough n , we have

$$(1.7) \quad \sup_{x \in [c, d]} \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \left(\frac{dt}{|E_{n,a_n}(t)|^2} - d\mu(t) \right) \right| \leq 3 \sup_{x \in [c-\varepsilon, d+\varepsilon]} \lambda_n(x).$$

We note that the same estimate (1.7) holds when $\frac{dt}{|E_{n,a_n}(t)|^2}$ is replaced by any positive measure sharing the same first $2n - 2$ power moments with μ . Another consequence of (1.3) is a Gauss type quadrature formula with complex nodes. Recall that if we fix real ξ , then $L_n(t, \xi)$ has n or $n - 1$ real zeros $\{t_{jn}\}$, one of which is ξ . There is an associated Gauss quadrature rule [2, Thm. I.3.2, p. 21]:

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_j \lambda_n(t_{jn}) P(t_{jn}) = \int P d\mu,$$

valid for all polynomials P of degree $\leq 2n - 2$. The classical Gauss quadrature, involving the zeros $\{x_{jn}\}$ of p_n , is the case where ξ is a zero of p_n . By using elementary properties of the Poisson kernel, one can show that if we let $\text{Im } a$ approach 0 in (1.3), then we obtain this last quadrature formula. In general, when a has non-zero imaginary part, one obtains an analogue of (1.8) with complex nodes. In the formulation, we need the Schwarz reflection of a function g ,

$$(1.9) \quad g^*(z) = \overline{g(\bar{z})}.$$

Theorem 1.4

Let μ be a positive measure on the real line with at least $n + 1$ points in its support and the first $2n$ finite power moments. Let $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^n$ denote the zeros of $L_n(a, \cdot)$. Assume they are simple, and let

$$(1.10) \quad \lambda_j = \frac{2\pi i}{E_{n,a}(z_j) E_{n,a}^*(z_j)}, 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Then for all polynomials P of degree at most $2n - 2$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j P(z_j) = \int P d\mu.$$

We note that it is possible, for some finitely many exceptional choices of a , that $E_{n,a}$ has multiple zeros, see the remark after Lemma 2.2. In this case, the quadrature involves derivatives of P at the multiple zeros. We note too that as $\text{Im } a \rightarrow 0$, this last formula reduces to (1.8).

2. PROOF OF (1.3)

The proof uses similar ideas to those in [4], but is easier to follow because it is self contained, and avoids use of the de Branges theory. Throughout, we assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.1

Let $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. For polynomials R of degree at most $2n - 2$,

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{R(t)}{|E_{n,a}(t)|^2} dt = \int R d\mu.$$

Recall that $L_n(z, v) = (z - v) K_n(z, v)$ and the notation (1.9) for the Schwarz reflection.

Lemma 2.2

(a) For all complex α, β, z, v ,

$$(2.2) \quad L_n(z, v) L_n(\alpha, \beta) = L_n(\alpha, z) L_n(\beta, v) - L_n(\beta, z) L_n(\alpha, v).$$

(b) Let $\text{Im } a > 0$. Then

$$(2.3) \quad K_n(z, v) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{E_{n,a}(z) E_{n,a}^*(v) - E_{n,a}^*(z) E_{n,a}(v)}{z - v}.$$

(c) If $\text{Im } a > 0$, all zeros of $E_{n,a}$ are in the lower half plane.

Proof

(a) Just substitute the definition (1.1) of L_n into the right-hand side of (2.2), then multiply out, cancel factors, and refactorize.

(b) The identity (2.2), with $\alpha = a; \beta = \bar{a}$; gives

$$(2.4) \quad L_n(z, v) L_n(a, \bar{a}) = L_n(a, z) L_n(\bar{a}, v) - L_n(\bar{a}, z) L_n(a, v).$$

Since $L_n(z, v)$ has real coefficients as a polynomial in z, v , and

$$L_n(a, \bar{a}) = 2i \text{Im } a K_n(a, \bar{a}) = i |L_n(a, \bar{a})|,$$

we obtain

$$K_n(z, v) = \frac{i}{|L_n(a, \bar{a})|} \frac{L_n(\bar{a}, z) \overline{L_n(\bar{a}, v)} - \overline{L_n(\bar{a}, z)} L_n(\bar{a}, v)}{z - v},$$

and (2.3) follows on taking account of (1.2).

(c) It suffices to show that $K_n(\bar{a}, \cdot)$ has all its zeros in the lower half plane, since $E_{n,a}$ is a multiple of $(\cdot - \bar{a}) K_n(\bar{a}, \cdot)$. In turn, in view of the Christoffel-Darboux formula, and the fact that p_{n-1} and p_n have real zeros, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{p_{n-1}(z)}{p_n(z)} - \frac{p_{n-1}(\bar{a})}{p_n(\bar{a})}$$

cannot vanish for $\text{Im } z \geq 0$. By the Lagrange interpolation formula at the zeros $\{x_{jn}\}$ of p_n , or by partial fraction decomposition,

$$\frac{p_{n-1}(z)}{p_n(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_{n-1}(x_{jn})}{p'_n(x_{jn})} \frac{1}{z - x_{jn}}.$$

Applying l'Hospital's rule to the Christoffel-Darboux formula gives

$$K_n(x, x) = \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} (p'_n(x) p_{n-1}(x) - p'_{n-1}(x) p_n(x)),$$

and in particular,

$$K_n(x_{jn}, x_{jn}) = \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} p'_n(x_{jn}) p_{n-1}(x_{jn}).$$

Thus

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{p_{n-1}(z)}{p_n(z)} = \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_{n-1}^2(x_{jn})}{K_n(x_{jn}, x_{jn})} \frac{1}{z - x_{jn}}$$

so

$$\text{Im} \left(\frac{p_{n-1}(z)}{p_n(z)} \right) = -(\text{Im } z) \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_{n-1}^2(x_{jn})}{K_n(x_{jn}, x_{jn})} \frac{1}{|z - x_{jn}|^2}.$$

In particular, for $\text{Im } z > 0$, $\text{Im} \left(\frac{p_{n-1}(z)}{p_n(z)} \right) < 0$, while as $\text{Im } \bar{a} < 0$, $\text{Im} \left(\frac{p_{n-1}(\bar{a})}{p_n(\bar{a})} \right) > 0$, so $\frac{p_{n-1}(z)}{p_n(z)} - \frac{p_{n-1}(\bar{a})}{p_n(\bar{a})}$ cannot be zero. ■

Remark

It is possible for $E_{n,a}$ to have multiple zeros. Indeed, we see this occurs iff both

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(z) p_{n-1}(\bar{a}) - p_{n-1}(z) p_n(\bar{a}) &= 0; \\ p'_n(z) p_{n-1}(\bar{a}) - p'_{n-1}(z) p_n(\bar{a}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

These latter two relations are equivalent to

$$p'_n(z) p_{n-1}(z) - p'_{n-1}(z) p_n(z) = 0 \text{ and } \frac{p_{n-1}}{p_n}(z) = \frac{p_{n-1}}{p_n}(\bar{a}).$$

Let us choose a z that is one of the $n-1$ zeros of $p'_n p_{n-1} - p'_{n-1} p_n$ in the lower half-plane. (It is easily seen by differentiating (2.5) that there are none on the real line, and of course, they occur in conjugate pairs). Then let us choose a with $\text{Im } a > 0$ such that

$$\frac{p_{n-1}}{p_n}(z) = \frac{p_{n-1}}{p_n}(\bar{a}).$$

There are n choices for a , counting multiplicity. For this choice of a , $E_{n,a}$ will have at least a double zero at z . Of course, there are only finitely many such exceptional a .

Proof of Theorem 2.1

We shall assume $\text{Im } a > 0$. The case $\text{Im } a < 0$ follows by taking conjugates. We first prove the reproducing kernel relation

$$(2.6) \quad P(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(t) K_n(t, z)}{|E_{n,a}(t)|^2} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(t) K_n(t, z)}{E_{n,a}(t) E_{n,a}^*(t)} dt.$$

Here z is any complex number, and P is any polynomial of degree at most $n - 1$. Let us assume first that $\text{Im } z > 0$. From the formula (2.3) for K_n , we see that

$$(2.7) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(t) K_n(t, z)}{E_{n,a}(t) E_{n,a}^*(t)} dt \\ = \frac{i}{2\pi} \left(E_{n,a}^*(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(t)}{E_{n,a}^*(t)(t-z)} dt - E_{n,a}(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(t)}{E_{n,a}(t)(t-z)} dt \right).$$

Recall that $E_{n,a}$ has all its zeros in the lower-half plane, so $E_{n,a}^*$ has all its zeros in the upper-half plane. Then the integrand $\frac{P(t)}{E_{n,a}^*(t)(t-z)}$ in the first integral is analytic as a function of t in the closed lower-half plane, and is $O(|t|^{-2})$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. By the residue theorem, or Cauchy's integral theorem, the first integral is 0. Next, the integrand $\frac{P(t)}{E_{n,a}(t)(t-z)}$ in the second integral is analytic as a function of t in the closed upper-half plane, except for a simple pole at z (unless $P(z) = 0$) and is $O(|t|^{-2})$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. The residue theorem shows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(t)}{E_{n,a}(t)(t-z)} dt = 2\pi i \frac{P(z)}{E_{n,a}(z)}.$$

Substituting this into (2.7) gives (2.6) for $\text{Im } z > 0$. As both sides of (2.6) are polynomials in z , analytic continuation gives it for all z .

Now we can prove (2.1). We can write $R = PS$ where both P and S are polynomials of degree $\leq n - 1$. We multiply the identity in (2.6) by S and then integrate with respect to μ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int R d\mu &= \int (PS)(z) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int S(z) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(t) \frac{K_n(t, z)}{|E_{n,a}(t)|^2} dt \right] d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(t) \frac{1}{|E_{n,a}(t)|^2} \left[\int S(z) K_n(t, z) d\mu(z) \right] dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(t) \frac{1}{|E_{n,a}(t)|^2} S(t) dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{R}{|E_{n,a}|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the reproducing kernel formula for the measure μ . Moreover, the interchange of integrals is justified by absolute convergence of all integrals involved. ■

3. WEAK CONVERGENCE, DISCREPANCY, GAUSS QUADRATURE

Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let f be Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to μ and of polynomial growth at ∞ , and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since μ is determinate, there exist upper and lower polynomials P_u and P_ℓ such that

$$P_\ell \leq f \leq P_u \text{ in } (-\infty, \infty)$$

and

$$\int (P_u - P_\ell) d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

See, for example, [2, Theorem 3.3, p. 73]. Then for n so large that $2n - 2$ exceeds the degree of P_u and P_ℓ , (1.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f}{|E_{n,a_n}|^2} - \int f d\mu \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f - P_\ell}{|E_{n,a_n}|^2} - \int (f - P_\ell) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P_u - P_\ell}{|E_{n,a_n}|^2} - 0 \\ &= \int (P_u - P_\ell) d\mu < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for large enough n ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f}{|E_{n,a_n}|^2} - \int f d\mu \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f - P_u}{|E_{n,a_n}|^2} + \int (P_u - f) d\mu \\ &\geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P_\ell - P_u}{|E_{n,a_n}|^2} + 0 \\ &= \int (P_\ell - P_u) d\mu > -\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

■

Proof of Corollary 1.2

(a) For all real x , let

$$f(x) = \frac{\text{dist}(x, \text{supp}[\mu])}{1 + \text{dist}(x, \text{supp}[\mu])}.$$

Here dist is the usual Euclidean distance between a point and a set. Then f is continuous, $0 \leq f \leq 1$ for all real x , $f = 0$ in $\text{supp}[\mu]$, and for $x \in J$,

$$f(x) \geq \frac{\text{dist}(J, \text{supp}[\mu])}{1 + \text{dist}(J, \text{supp}[\mu])} = c_0 > 0,$$

say. By the weak convergence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq c_0 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_J \frac{1}{|E_{n,a}|^2} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_J \frac{f}{|E_{n,a}|^2} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f}{|E_{n,a}|^2} \\ &= \int f d\mu = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{n,a_n}(t)|^2 &= \frac{\pi |t - a_n|^2 |K_n(t, \overline{a_n})|^2}{|\operatorname{Im} a_n| K_n(a_n, \overline{a_n})} \\ &\leq 4\pi \frac{(t^2 + |a_n|^2) |K_n(t, \overline{a_n})|^2}{|\operatorname{Im} a_n| K_n(a_n, \overline{a_n})}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We can cover compact J by finitely many open intervals, each at a positive distance to $\operatorname{supp}[\mu]$. It then suffices to prove the conclusion for the closure of just one of these intervals. So we assume J consists of a single bounded interval. Next, as $\operatorname{supp}[\mu]$ is compact, we may choose a set K consisting of two intervals, that contains $\operatorname{supp}[\mu]$, but is disjoint from J . We can then choose polynomials P_n of degree $\leq n - 1$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad |P_n| \leq 1 \text{ in } K$$

but

$$(3.2) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\inf_J |P_n| \right)^{1/n} > r > 1.$$

In the special case where $J = [-\alpha, \alpha]$ and $K = [-1, -\beta] \cup [\beta, 1]$, and $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$, we can just choose

$$P_n(x) = T_{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \left(-1 + 2 \left(\frac{x^2 - \beta^2}{1 - \beta^2} \right) \right).$$

Here T_m is the usual Chebyshev polynomial for $[-1, 1]$ and $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of $\frac{n-1}{2}$. The general case can be reduced to this special case, by enlarging the intervals of K so that they become symmetric about J , and then using a linear transformation.

Armed with the $\{P_n\}$ satisfying (3.1) and (3.2), we apply (1.3): for large enough n ,

$$\begin{aligned} r^{2n} \int_J \frac{1}{|E_{n,a_n}|^2} &\leq \int_J \frac{P_n^2}{|E_{n,a_n}|^2} \\ &\leq \int P_n^2 d\mu \leq \int d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for t in the compact set J , we have for some $C > 0$ depending only on the compact set,

$$|E_{n,a_n}(t)|^2 \leq C \frac{(1 + |a_n|^2) |K_n(t, \overline{a_n})|^2}{|\operatorname{Im} a_n| K_n(a_n, \overline{a_n})}$$

where C is independent of n and t . Together with the previous estimate, this gives (1.6). ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3

The Markov-Stieltjes inequalities [2, p. 33] assert that

$$\sum_{j: x_{j_n} < x_{k_n}} \lambda_n(x_{j_n}) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{x_{k_n}} d\mu \leq \sum_{j: x_{j_n} \leq x_{k_n}} \lambda_n(x_{j_n}).$$

Recall here that $\{x_{jn}\}$ are the zeros of p_n and λ_n is the n th Christoffel function for μ . Since the measure $\frac{dt}{|E_{n,a_n}(t)|^2}$ has the same first $2n - 2$ power moments as $d\mu$, it has the same first $n - 1$ orthogonal polynomials as $d\mu$, and the same n th Christoffel function. Thus it has the same Gauss quadrature involving $\{x_{jn}\}$ as $d\mu$, and so has the same Markov-Stieltjes inequalities (even though the $\{x_{jn}\}$ come from p_n , and there is no orthogonal polynomial of degree n for $\frac{dt}{|E_{n,a_n}(t)|^2}$). A cursory scan of the proof of Theorem 5.4 in [2, p. 32] verifies this. So

$$\sum_{j:x_{jn} < x_{kn}} \lambda_n(x_{jn}) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{x_{kn}} \frac{dt}{|E_{n,a_n}(t)|^2} \leq \sum_{j:x_{jn} \leq x_{kn}} \lambda_n(x_{jn}).$$

Combining the last two inequalities, we see that

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{x_{kn}} \left(\frac{dt}{|E_{n,a_n}(t)|^2} - d\mu(t) \right) \right| \leq \lambda_n(x_{kn}).$$

Then also, if $x \in (x_{kn}, x_{k-1,n})$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \left(\frac{dt}{|E_{n,a_n}(t)|^2} - d\mu(t) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \lambda_n(x_{kn}) + \max \left\{ \int_{x_{kn}}^{x_{k-1,n}} \frac{dt}{|E_{n,a_n}(t)|^2}, \int_{x_{kn}}^{x_{k-1,n}} d\mu(t) \right\} \\ & \leq \lambda_n(x_{kn}) + \lambda_n(x_{k-1,n}) + \lambda_n(x_{kn}), \end{aligned}$$

again, by using the Markov-Stieltjes inequalities above. Now let c, d lie in $\text{supp}[\mu]$. As μ is determinate, both c and d attract zeros of p_n [2, Theorem 2.4, p. 67], so we can find for large enough n , and all $x \in [c, d]$, an index k such that $x \in (x_{kn}, x_{k-1,n})$ and both $x_{kn}, x_{k-1,n}$ lie in $[c - \varepsilon, d + \varepsilon]$. Then we obtain for large enough n ,

$$\sup \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \left(\frac{dt}{|E_{n,a_n}(t)|^2} - d\mu(t) \right) \right| \leq 3 \sup_{[c-\varepsilon, d+\varepsilon]} \lambda_n.$$

■

Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let us assume that $\text{Im } a > 0$ and P is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2n - 2$. Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P}{|E_{n,a}|^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(t)}{E_{n,a}(t) E_{n,a}^*(t)} dt.$$

Here $E_{n,a}(z)$ has all its zeros in the lower-half plane. By contrast, $E_{n,a}^*(z)$ is a multiple of $L_n(a, z)$, which has all its zeros $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^n$ in the upper-half plane. By hypothesis, they are simple. Moreover, as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{P(t)}{E_{n,a}(t) E_{n,a}^*(t)} = O(t^{-2}).$$

We can then use the residue theorem to deduce that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(t)}{E_{n,a}(t) E_{n,a}^*(t)} dt = 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{P(z_j)}{E_{n,a}(z_j) E_{n,a}^{\prime*}(z_j)}.$$



Acknowledgement

Vili Totik provided useful references and perspectives during the OPSFA conference in Leuven in July 2009.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. de Branges, *Hilbert Spaces of Entire Functions*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1968.
- [2] G. Freud, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Pergamon Press/ Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1971.
- [3] D. Krutikov, Denis, C. Remling, *Schrödinger operators with sparse potentials: asymptotics of the Fourier transform of the spectral measure*, Comm. Math. Phys. 223 (2001), 509–532.
- [4] D.S. Lubinsky, *Universality Limits for Random Matrices and de Branges Spaces of Entire Functions*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 256(2009), 3688-3729.
- [5] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle*, Parts 1 and 2, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2005.
- [6] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials with Exponentially Decaying Recursion Coefficients*, (in) Probability and Mathematical Physics (eds. D. Dawson, V. Jaksic and B. Vainberg), CRM Proc. and Lecture Notes 42 (2007), 453-463.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GA 30332-0160, USA., LUBINSKY@MATH.GATECH.EDU